Overview and Scrutiny of Committee



Title of Report:	Work Programme Update		
Report No:	OAS/SE/16/020		
Report to and date:	Overview and Scrutiny Committee	20 July 2016	
Chairman of the Committee:	Diane Hind Chairman of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee Tel: 07890 198957 Email: diane.hind@stedsbc.gov.uk		
Lead officer:	Christine Brain Democratic Services Officer (Scrutiny) Tel: 01638 719729 Email: Christine.brain@westsuffolk.gov.uk		
Purpose of report:	 To update the Committee on the current status of its rolling work programme of annual items for scrutiny during 2016-2017 and current Task and Finish Groups running (Appendix 1); To remind Members to complete the Work Programme Suggestion Form when submitting future items for potential scrutiny (Appendix 2). 		
Recommendation:	Overview and Scrutiny Committee: 1) That, Members <u>note</u> the current status of the work programme and the annual items expected during 2016-2017.		
Key Decision: (Check the appropriate box and delete all those that do not apply.)	Is this a Key Decision and, if so, under which definition? Yes, it is a Key Decision - \square No, it is not a Key Decision - \boxtimes		
Documents attache	and Finish Group	Appendix 1 – Current Work Programme and Task and Finish Group Appendix 2 - Work Programme Suggestion Form	

1. Key issues and reasons for recommendations

1.1 **Rolling Work Programme**

1.1.1 The Committee has a rolling work programme, whereby suggestions for scrutiny reviews are brought to each meeting, and if accepted, are timetabled to report to a future meeting. The work programme also leaves space for Callins and Councillor Calls for Action. The current position of the work programme for 2016 is attached at **Appendix 1** for information.

1.2 <u>Member Work Programme Suggestion Form</u>

- 1.2.1 Attached at **Appendix 2** is the Member Work Programme Suggestion Form, which Members are reminded to complete when submitting future items for potential scrutiny.
- 1.2.2 This enables suggestions received to be considered by the Committee at each meeting.

Overview and Scrutiny Committee Rolling Work Programme (St Edmundsbury Borough Council)

The Committee has a rolling work programme, whereby suggestions for scrutiny reviews are brought to each meeting, and if accepted, are timetabled to report to a future meeting. The work programme also leaves space for Call-ins and Councillor Calls for Action.

Description	Land Officer	D. L. 'I.
Description	Lead Officer	Details
14 September 20	16	
Portfolio Holder	Planning and	The Portfolio Holder has been invited to give a
Presentation	Growth	short presentation / account of their portfolio
(Cllr Pugh)		and answer questions from the Committee.
Information	Head of	To scrutinise a West Suffolk Information
Strategy	Resources and Performance	Strategy which has been jointly produced with Forest Heath District Council.
Medium Term	Head of	To carry out a review of the Medium Term
Financial Strategy	Resources and Performance	Financial Strategy
New Housing	Service Manager	Final report - to jointly review with St
Development	(Planning –	Edmundsbury Borough Council the
Sites Joint Task	Development)	unacceptable length of time taken by housing
and Finish Group		developers to bring highways, footpaths,
		landscaping and open space up to adoption
Cabinet Decision	Democratic	standards on new developments. To peruse the latest Decision Plan for items on
Plan	Services Officer	which it would like further information or feels
T IGHT	(Scrutiny)	might benefit from the Committee's
	(Sociality)	involvement.
Work Programme	Democratic	To receive suggestions for scrutiny reviews,
Update	Services Officer	appoint Task and Finish Groups for these
	(Scrutiny)	reviews and indicate review timescales.
9 November 2016		
Portfolio Holder	To be confirmed	The Portfolio Holder has been invited to give a
Presentation		short presentation / account of their portfolio
		and answer questions from the Committee.
Housing	Head of Housing	To scrutinise the Housing Development
Development		Company Annual Business and Delivery Plan.
Company (Barley		
Homes Group Ltd) Annual		
Business and		
Delivery Plan		
Car Parking	Car Parks	To receive an annual report on car parking in
	Manager	the Borough
	1	

Description	Lead Officer	Details
North West and North East Haverhill Relief Road, including the Haverhill Town Centre Master Plan	Principal Growth Officer	To receive an update on the scheme.
Review and Revision of the Constitution	Monitoring Officer	The Constitution requires the Committee to receive on a quarterly basis a report on minor amendments made by the Monitoring Officer under delegated authority.
Directed Surveillance (Quarter 2)	Monitoring Officer	To scrutinise the authority's use of its surveillance powers on a quarterly basis.
Cabinet Decision Plan	Democratic Services Officer (Scrutiny)	To peruse the latest Decision Plan for items on which it would like further information or feels might benefit from the Committee's involvement.
Work Programme Update	Democratic Services Officer (Scrutiny)	To receive suggestions for scrutiny reviews, appoint Task and Finish Groups for these reviews and indicate review timescales.
11 January 2017		
Portfolio Holder Presentation	To be confirmed	The Portfolio Holder has been invited to give a short presentation / account of their portfolio and answer questions from the Committee.
West Suffolk Housing Strategy	Head of Housing	Update on progress against Action Points.
Directed Surveillance (Quarter 3)	Monitoring Officer	To scrutinise the authority's use of its surveillance powers on a quarterly basis.
Cabinet Decision Plan	Democratic Services Officer (Scrutiny)	To peruse the latest Decision Plan for items on which it would like further information or feels might benefit from the Committee's involvement.
Work Programme Update	Democratic Services Officer (Scrutiny)	To receive suggestions for scrutiny reviews, appoint Task and Finish Groups for these reviews and indicate review timescales.
15 March 2017		
Portfolio Holder Presentation	To be confirmed	The Portfolio Holder has been invited to give a short presentation / account of their portfolio and answer questions from the Committee.
Review and Revision of the Constitution	Monitoring Officer	The Constitution requires the Committee to receive on a quarterly basis a report on minor amendments made by the Monitoring Officer under delegated authority.
Cabinet Decision Plan	Democratic Services Officer (Scrutiny)	To peruse the latest Decision Plan for items on which it would like further information or feels might benefit from the Committee's involvement.

Description	Lead Officer	Details
Work Programme		To receive suggestions for scrutiny reviews,
Update	Services Officer (Scrutiny)	appoint Task and Finish Groups for these reviews and indicate review timescales.

<u>Futures items identified to be programmed:</u>
1. Future Developments for Regional Transport in West Suffolk (A1307) – Progress Report.

Current position of Overview and Scrutiny Task and Finish Groups

	Title	Purpose	Start date	Members appointed	Estimated End date
1.	New Housing Development Sites (Joint Scrutiny Review)	To jointly review with Forest Heath District Council the unacceptable length of time taken by housing developers to bring highways, footpaths, landscaping and open space up to	August 2013	St Edmundsbury Diane Hind Angela Rushen Jim Thorndyke	9 March 2016
		adoption standards on new developments.	Progress updates	Forest Heath Ruth Bowman	20 April 2016
			23 January 2014	Bill Sadler	8 June 2016
					20 July 2016
					14 September 2016







Suggestion for Scrutiny Work Programme Form \overline{BG} (To be considered by the Overview and Scrutiny Committee)

Suggestion from:		
What would you like to suggest for investigation / review?		
Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary		
What are the main issues / concerns to be considered?		
Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary		
Would this review benefit from a "West Suffolk" approach (i.e. joint scrutiny by both Councils), or is it relevant only to your council?		

Who is responsible for providing this service, or tackling the issue in question?
Have you spoken to them, and if so, what was the response?
What is the Portfolio Holders view on this issue?
What is the Follows Holders view on this issue.
What would be the likely benefits and outcomes of carrying out this investigation
/ review?
Estimated Committee and officer resource implications (eg research group, one-
off report, dedicated meeting etc)
Suggested witnesses, documentation and consultation

Will this investigation / review contribute to one or more of the Cou	ncil's
Strategic Priorities? If so, which (please tick)	
Increased opportunities for economic growth	
Resilient families and communities that are healthy and active	
Homes for our communities	

th If	Will this investigation / review contribute to the achievement of one or more of the commitments within the Council's Strategic Plan 2014-2016? If so, which (please tick)		
In	creased opportunities for economic growth:		
1.	Benefit growth that enhances prosperity and quality of life.		
2.	Existing businesses that are thriving and new businesses brought to the area.		
3.	People with the educational attainment and skills needed in our local economy.		
4.	Vibrant, attractive and clean high streets, village centres and markets.		
Re	silient families and communities that are healthy and active:		
1.	A thriving voluntary sector and active communities who take the initiative to help the most vulnerable.		
2.	People playing a greater role in determining the future of their communities.		
3.	Improved wellbeing, physical and mental health.		
4.	Accessible countryside and green spaces.		
Н	omes for our communities:		
1.	Sufficient housing for current and future generations, including more affordable homes; improvements to existing housing.		
2.	New developments that are fit for the future, properly supported by infrastructure, and that build communities, not just housing.		
3.	Homes that are flexible for people's changing needs.		

Will this investigation hit one of the essential elements of a scrutiny review			
when analysing potential scrutiny reviews? If so, which (please tick)			
Public Interest:			
The concerns of local people should influence the issues chosen by overview and			
scrutiny.			
Impact (Value):			
Priority should be given to issues that make the biggest difference to the social,			
economic and environmental wellbeing of the area, and which have the potential to			
make recommendations which could lead to real improvements. The outcome must			
also be proportionate to the cost of carrying out the review in terms of staff and			
councillor time.			
Relevance:			
Overview and scrutiny must be satisfied that an issue identified for review is			
relevant and does not duplicate existing work being undertaken elsewhere by			
various Working Groups, Cabinet, partners etc.			
Partnership working or external scrutiny:			
The focus of scrutiny is moving towards joint action and community leadership, so			
anything which offers this opportunity should be given serious consideration.			

Would you like to be involved in the investigation / review?		
Yes	No	
Date of request:	Signed	

Please return this form to the:

Scrutiny Officer, Forest Heath District Council, College Heath Road, Mildenhall, Suffolk, **IP28 7EY**

Email: <u>Christine.brain@westsuffolk.gov.uk</u>

Updated: July 2013

<u>Updated: June 2014 (Revised West Suffolk Strategic Priorities)</u> <u>Updated: March 2015 (Amended as a Joint Form)</u>